

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Total Points: \_\_\_\_\_

**Journal Club Written Report Rubric (Undergraduates, 1 page in length):**

Criteria	Excellent – 9 to 10 points	Good – 7 to 8 points	Fair – 5 to 6 points	Poor – 1 to 4 points
Organization of Report (__ of 10 points)	Report is clear and logical. Reader can easily follow line of reasoning.	Report is generally clear. A few minor points may be confusing.	Reader can follow report with effort. Organization not well thought out.	Report is very confusing and unclear. Readers cannot follow it.
Report Style (__ of 10 points)	Style is appropriate for report of results. Not too casual. Report is easy to understand.	Style is generally appropriate. May have some trouble in understanding.	Report is too informal or unprepared. Difficult to understand.	Report is consistently at an inappropriate level. Reader cannot understand content.
Content: Introduction to the research paper and methods (__ of 10 points)	Introduction to the paper and methods are clearly and coherently elucidated.	Introduction to the paper and methods is generally clear.	Some components of the introduction are minimal or missing. Little discussion of methods.	Introduction to the paper is very difficult to follow. No or inaccurate discussion of methods.
Content: Results from the research paper (__ of 10 points)	Results are clearly and coherently elucidated. Impact and implications of results and “what was discovered” is discussed.	Description of results is generally clear. Some discussion of what results mean.	Some components of results are minimal or missing. Little discussion of what results mean.	Description of results is very difficult to follow. No discussion of meaning of results.
Content: Conclusions from the research paper (__ of 10 points)	Logical and persuasive description of conclusions. Describes the impact and implications of results.	Conclusions from the paper are generally clear. Some discussion of impact.	Conclusions are minimal or missing. Little discussion of impact of the paper.	Description of the conclusions is difficult to follow. No discussion of impact of the paper.