

Name: _____ Total Points: _____

Journal Club Oral Presentation Rubric (Undergraduates):

Criteria	Excellent – 9 to 10 points	Good – 7 to 8 points	Fair – 5 to 6 points	Poor – 1 to 4 points
Organization of Presentation (__ of 10 points)	Presentation is clear and logical. Listener can easily follow line of reasoning.	Presentation is generally clear. A few minor points may be confusing.	Listener can follow presentation with effort. Organization not well thought out.	Presentation is very confusing and unclear. Listeners cannot follow it.
Presentation Style (__ of 10 points)	Style is appropriate for presentation of results. Not too casual. Speaker is easy to hear and understand.	Style is generally appropriate. May have some trouble in hearing or understanding.	Presentation is too informal or unprepared. Difficult to hear or understand. Much of information is read.	Presentation is consistently at an inappropriate level. Information is read. Speaker can't be heard or understood.
Content: Introduction to the research paper and methods (__ of 10 points)	Introduction to the paper and methods are clearly and coherently elucidated.	Introduction to the paper and methods is generally clear.	Some components of the introduction are minimal or missing. Little discussion of methods.	Introduction to the paper is very difficult to follow. No or inaccurate discussion of methods.
Content: Results from the research paper (__ of 10 points)	Results are clearly and coherently elucidated. Impact and implications of results and "what was discovered" is discussed.	Description of results is generally clear. Some discussion of what results mean.	Some components of results are minimal or missing. Little discussion of what results mean.	Description of results is very difficult to follow. No discussion of meaning of results.
Content: Conclusions from the research paper (__ of 10 points)	Logical and persuasive agreement between data and conclusions. Impact and implications of results and "where do we go from here" discussed.	Conclusions from the paper are generally clear. Some discussion of impact.	Conclusions are minimal or missing. Little discussion of impact of the paper.	Description of the conclusions is difficult to follow. No discussion of impact of the paper.